


### Q1 What is your current position?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
a) Foundation Year 1	0.00% 0
b) Foundation Year 2	5.56% 1
c) Academic Clinical Fellow	33.33% 6
d) Clinical Lecturer	16.67% 3
e) Senior University or NHS staff	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	44.44% 8
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Phd	1/29/2017 7:22 PM
2	Specialty Registrar	1/23/2017 10:49 PM
3	Histopathology non-academic	1/21/2017 1:24 PM
4	ST1 (not ACF)	1/19/2017 9:39 AM
5	CRF	1/19/2017 9:35 AM
6	ST4 post-doc	1/19/2017 9:29 AM
7	Professors	1/19/2017 9:27 AM
8	Clinical Research Fellow	1/18/2017 9:32 PM

## Q2 Generally, Inspiring the Next Generation was well organised

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
a) Definitely Agree	66.67% 12
b) Mostly Agree	33.33% 6
c) Neither agree nor disagree	0.00% 0
e) Mostly Disagree	0.00% 0
f) Definitely	0.00% 0
g) Not relevant	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

### Q3 I am clear of the aims of Inspiring the Next Generation

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
a) Definitely Agree	66.67% 12
b) Mostly Agree	27.78% 5
c) Neither agree nor disagree	5.56% 1
e) Mostly Disagree	0.00% 0
f) Definitely	0.00% 0
g) Not relevant	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

### Q4 Group Work

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Was the group work of value to you	0.00% 0	5.88% 1	5.88% 1	52.94% 9	35.29% 6	17	4.18

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

### Q5 Alternative academic pathway how it should work and academy of medical sciences grants

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Prof Phil Quirke	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11.76% 2	41.18% 7	47.06% 8	17	4.35

#	Comments	Date
1	Extremely useful session	1/23/2017 10:55 PM
2	Not scored As gave it	1/19/2017 9:29 AM

### Q6 The Crick - what is it and what opportunities does it present for histopathologists?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Prof Phil Quirke	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11.76% 2	41.18% 7	47.06% 8	17	4.35

#	Comments	Date
1	Extremely useful session	1/23/2017 10:55 PM
2	Not scored as gave it	1/19/2017 9:29 AM

### Q7 CRUK bursaries and PhD Studentships

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Dr Rachel Panizzio	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	16.67% 3	22.22% 4	55.56% 10	18	4.28

#	Comments	Date
1	Extremely useful session	1/23/2017 10:55 PM
2	PhD funding limited to specific institutions therefore of no use to me with current project/supervisor. This limits CRUK funding of PhDs linking in with NIHR ACFs unless the ACF occurs at an institution where CRUK funding is secured (? RCPATH/Path Soc to encourage CRUK to fund PhDs at all institutions where a Pathology ACF is also funded (in my case, QMUL).	1/19/2017 11:59 AM

### Q8 NIHR research fellowships/opportunities

Answered: 18 Skipped: 0




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Dr Kieran Lee	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16.67% 3	61.11% 11	22.22% 4	18	4.06

#	Comments	Date
	There are no responses.	



### Q9 Speed dating - networking

Answered: 17 Skipped: 1




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) was this session of value to you	0.00% 0	5.88% 1	5.88% 1	52.94% 9	35.29% 6	17	4.18

#	Comments	Date
1	Great way of being introduced to other trainees with similar interests	1/23/2017 10:55 PM
2	really good way of getting to know everybody at the meeting	1/21/2017 1:28 PM
3	Good to get an idea of the breadth of research interests, specialty areas, and career stages involved in the meeting.	1/19/2017 11:59 AM
4	Organized it	1/19/2017 9:29 AM

### Q10 Update from the College and Q&A

Answered: 16 Skipped: 2




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Dr Suzy Lishman	0.00% 0	6.25% 1	6.25% 1	37.50% 6	50.00% 8	16	4.31

#	Comments	Date
1	Is the college able to	1/19/2017 11:59 AM

### Q11 Practical Management action learning part 1 - how to do it of management

Answered: 15 Skipped: 3




	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Prof Jo Martin	6.67% 1	0.00% 0	13.33% 2	33.33% 5	46.67% 7	15	4.13

#	Comments	Date
1	very practical	1/21/2017 1:28 PM
2	In committee	1/19/2017 9:29 AM

## Q12 Practical management action learning part 2

Answered: 14 Skipped: 4



	1 (Low)	2	3	4	5 (High)	Total	Weighted Average
a) Prof Jo Martin	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 7	42.86% 6	14	4.21

#	Comments	Date
1	Couldn't attend due to other commitments	1/19/2017 9:30 AM
2	In committee	1/19/2017 9:29 AM

### Q13 This space is for any further comments/suggestions you may have

Answered: 10 Skipped: 8

#	Responses	Date
1	I think these meetings are very helpful....a good way to feel supported, network, liaise with fellow academic trainees. I liked the 3minute thesis as a way to get to know what trainees are doing...it might be nice to do a 60second update on progress between meetings (or even to Mindmap this on a sheet of paper) as a way of reflecting and getting feedback on progress. Thank you.	1/29/2017 7:25 PM
2	This is my second time attending the academic trainee network meeting and I haven't been disappointed. I find this meeting in particular to be a source of encouragement and useful information re: academic Histopathology	1/23/2017 10:55 PM
3	Really great and motivational sessions. Met and discussed plans with current academics how to further improve cv and apply for academic positions	1/21/2017 1:28 PM
4	This was an exceptionally helpful meeting to attend. I enjoyed it immensely and took away many important learning points. I also felt I received clarity on areas of personal and professional growth as an academic. I am passionate about becoming an academic pathologist and I am truly heartened that there are leaders in our profession who care deeply about overcoming the very real obstacles we face, and who are providing such inspirational leadership. The work of this valuable network deserves to be celebrated, supported and nurtured.	1/20/2017 12:03 PM
5	Venue was not as good as the Edinburgh meeting - meeting room very small and warm and accommodation was poor, however as usual, a very well organised and informative day	1/19/2017 12:33 PM
6	The usual: Coffee was horrible, tea not available at lunch. Otherwise a good meeting, nice to catch up with everyone. Of note: I still feel there is a bit of concern if you haven't started thinking about academia early enough you end up in a bit of a catch 22 - CV not developed enough for a strong PhD application, if you get on an ACF publications and/or pilot data will probably be towards end of research time, thus delaying PhD applications. Combined with the RCPATH training system it then makes it difficult to take an OOPR as you then have to pass Part II prior to OOPR otherwise risk not having enough time left in training to do 12 months of stage D (and then face issues surrounding doing a clinical Lectureship while studying for Part II etc). In light of this I would suggest getting ACFs to start research almost immediately/within 6 months of their ST2 year. Also funding options for PhDs slightly limited if you are not at one of departments with CRUK or Wellcome Trust funds. This only leaves NIHR (doesn't fund basic science), MRC (hard to get), Genomics Education (only genomics related, only 3), Jean Shanks (every other year, only 1), or charities (specialty specific, increasingly difficult/smaller pot/competitive). Given the rise in basic pay (massive for those above ST3 when many doctors take PhDs) this may squeeze funding opportunities at this level.	1/19/2017 11:59 AM
7	We forgot to ask about group work and dinner at Prosoect	1/19/2017 9:29 AM
8	This was a very good meeting; the sessions were relevant and informative. It was good to see how the suggestions arising from the meeting last year have influenced College and funders' policies. I also enjoyed the opportunity to speak with senior figures in a relatively informal setting.	1/19/2017 8:48 AM
9	Probably the most useful and valuable of the meetings to date. Enjoyable atmosphere and good opportunity to reinforce relationships with trainees from other centres.	1/18/2017 8:28 PM
10	Excellent meeting. Really interesting talks and great networking opportunity	1/18/2017 6:40 PM