Q1 What is your current position?

Answered: 14   Skipped: 0


ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a) Foundation Year 1	0.00%	0
b) Foundation Year 2	0.00%	0
c) Academic Clinical Fellow	28.57%	4
d) Clinical Lecturer	7.14%	1
e) Senior University or NHS staff	14.29%	2
Other (please specify)	50.00%	7
TOTAL		14

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	PhD	1/31/2018 1:37 PM
2	STR	1/29/2018 6:41 PM
3	Non-academic registrar	1/25/2018 3:08 PM
4	Non-ACF pre PhD speciality trainee	1/24/2018 8:48 PM
5	ST1	1/24/2018 7:28 PM
6	St3	1/24/2018 7:25 PM
7	Clinical Research Fellow	1/24/2018 5:02 PM

Q2 Generally, Inspiring the Next Generation was well organised

Answered: 14   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a) Definitely Agree	71.43%	10
b) Mostly Agree	14.29%	2
c) Neither agree nor disagree	0.00%	0
e) Mostly Disagree	0.00%	0
f) Definitely	7.14%	1
g) Not relevant	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	7.14%	1
TOTAL		14

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Generally well organsied but less so than previous events - venue was less suitable, main room very small/hot and refreshments not provided in separate area. Staff at RSM not very present / available to help. Hotel was very poor standard.	1/25/2018 9:16 AM

Q3 I am clear of the aims of Inspiring the Next Generation

Answered: 14   Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a) Definitely Agree	71.43%	10
b) Mostly Agree	28.57%	4
c) Neither agree nor disagree	0.00%	0
e) Mostly Disagree	0.00%	0
f) Definitely	0.00%	0
g) Not relevant	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
TOTAL		14

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q4 Rationale for current training structure and its issues

Answered: 13   Skipped: 1

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Joanne Brinklow/Prof Jo Martin/Shelley Heard	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	15.38% 2	46.15% 6	38.46% 5	13	4.23

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Extremely interesting discussion which fostered understanding between the college and academic trainees.	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
2	Very good engagement from the college - could/should have been a longer session	1/25/2018 9:21 AM

# Q5 Academic Pathology Training and its Issues

Answered: 13   Skipped: 1

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Prof P Quirke	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	15.38% 2	30.77% 4	53.85% 7	13	4.38

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Prof Quirke excellently advocated changes to further support trainees pursue their academic careers	1/25/2018 3:16 PM

Q6 Histopathology training & its integration with academic training - round table discussion "Where are we? Where do we want to be?"

Answered: 13   Skipped: 1

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Prof J Martin/Prof S Manek/Dr R Halas/Shelley Heard/Jo Brinklow/Dr C Young/Dr N West/Prof P Quirke	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7.69% 1	46.15% 6	46.15% 6	13	4.38

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Very good engagement from the collage - could/should have been a longer session	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
2	Very generous of senior college members to give us their time and it was very useful. I think due to time constraints the round table discussion was limited to only a couple of topics and I certainly would have like to discuss a couple more issues	1/24/2018 9:56 PM

Q7 General update from RCPath

Answered: 12   Skipped: 2

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Prof J Martin	0.00% 0	8.33% 1	25.00% 3	25.00% 3	41.67% 5	12	4.00

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Very useful to have a discussion with RCPath	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Formed part of session above	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
3	As above	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
4	Was in general discussion	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q8 ACF's: Predoctoral & PhD Fellowships

Answered: 8   Skipped: 6

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) MRC	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12.50% 1	12.50% 1	75.00% 6	8	4.63
b) Wellcome Trust approach academics	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12.50% 1	37.50% 3	50.00% 4	8	4.38
c) NIHR	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	12.50% 1	12.50% 1	75.00% 6	8	4.63
d) CRUK	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 2	12.50% 1	62.50% 5	8	4.38

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	The NIHR speaker was pushed for time which lessened the clarity of his talk	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
2	Did not attend	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
3	N/A	1/24/2018 9:56 PM

Q9 OOPR/CL: Intermediate & Clinician Scientist Fellowship applications

Answered: 8   Skipped: 6

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) NIHR	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 4	37.50% 3	12.50% 1	8	3.63
b) CRUK	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	62.50% 5	25.00% 2	12.50% 1	8	3.50
c) Wellcome Trust	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 4	25.00% 2	25.00% 2	8	3.75
d) MRC	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 4	25.00% 2	25.00% 2	8	3.75

| #	COMMENTS	DATE
1	I did not attend these talks	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
2	Good talks. Nice to meet representatives from funders but v similar to talks received at similar national/local events	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
3	Q 9 not relevant to me	1/24/2018 7:32 PM

Q10 Pathological Society Grants

Answered: 14   Skipped: 0

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Prof M Arends	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 2	7.14% 1	78.57% 11	14	4.64

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Very useful to have this update regularly as they change	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Highly useful	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
3	Good to hear about JSPS opportunities	1/25/2018 9:21 AM

# Q11 Publications - writing papers for peer review

Answered: 12   Skipped: 2

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Prof CS Herrington	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16.67% 2	25.00% 3	58.33% 7	12	4.42

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Good talk, same as that given in Edinburgh	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
2	Excellent and novel talk	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
3	Did not attend	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q12 Practical research: All you need to know about ethics for tissues and R&D approval

Answered: 10   Skipped: 4

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Dr C Young	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	10.00% 1	20.00% 2	70.00% 7	10	4.60
b) Mrs. H Rossington	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	75.00% 3	4	4.50

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	gave this presentation	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	I found learning about applying for ethics funding extremely useful	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
3	Only Caroline spoke in this session, useful, practical tips for those starting out in research	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
4	Very useful and clear	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
5	N/a	1/24/2018 7:32 PM
6	Did not attend	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q13 Getting a project off the ground: Trainees perspectives

Answered: 11   Skipped: 3

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) Dr J Griffin	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.09% 1	27.27% 3	63.64% 7	11	4.55
b) Dr A Westwood	0.00% 0	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	27.27% 3	63.64% 7	11	4.45

| #	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Really inspiring	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	These talks were also useful and gave me vital information about challenges in arranging a period of research during full time training	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
3	Useful for those starting out in research, less so for more senior audience members	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
4	Did not attend	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q14 Speed dating - networking

Answered: 11   Skipped: 3

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) All	0.00% 0	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	45.45% 5	36.36% 4	11	4.00

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	couldn't attend	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Did we really need to do this at the end?	1/29/2018 6:45 PM
3	Really enjoyable but would have been better at the start of the day to familiarise participates with one another	1/25/2018 3:16 PM
4	Seems counterintutitive to put this at the end of the day when we have already spent time talking to each other / networking	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
5	Having a question to discuss at each station unfortunately meant I didn't get to hear about people's work and research interests which I would have liked to.	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
6	Did not attend	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q15 Update on introduction of mentoring scheme of the Pathological Society

Answered: 11   Skipped: 3

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) All	0.00% 0	9.09% 1	27.27% 3	27.27% 3	36.36% 4	11	3.91

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	couldn't attend	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	I think a mentoring scheme would be ideal: though I am unsure of how this would work from a logistical perspective	1/29/2018 6:45 PM
3	This is very important for trainees	1/25/2018 7:23 PM
4	V brief	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
5	I think this is a very good idea, although I understand mentees are likely to be matched with mentors who are not local to them and my concern is that the distance will make face to face meetings arduous	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
6	Did not attend	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q16 Are there any particular topics that you would like to be covered in future meetings?

Answered: 4   Skipped: 10

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) All	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	4	3.75

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	some feedback from trainees re clinical trial involvement; could we do some practical workshops eg workshop on paper review of 4 inspiring pathology peieces of research journal-club style - what can we learn from them re how to conduct impactful pathology research?	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Professional communication skills	1/29/2018 6:45 PM
3	Very useful grant session	1/25/2018 7:23 PM
4	As discussed in trainee meeting on Tuesday evening	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
5	Mouse pathology, perhaps tapping into expertise at Harwell or the WTSI? Current large scale projects that are relevant to or benefit from pathologists eg the human cell atlas, the human protein atlas, the knockout mouse IMPC, etc. Maybe even highlighting potential opportunities for trainee's to get involved?	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
6	Case studies or novel/ interesting techniques. Many of the trainees are using interesting techniques in their research, why not have them give an overview of how it works, pros/cons/costs etc	1/24/2018 8:51 PM
7	It would be nice to have a session on creating a research question. Where to look for inspiration etc.	1/24/2018 7:32 PM
8	Mentoring, more networking but not just trainees with experienced researchers	1/24/2018 7:32 PM

Q17 Do you have any suggestions for locations of future meetings (within the UK)?

Answered: 2   Skipped: 12

	1 (LOW)	2	3	4	5 (HIGH)	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
a) All	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2	3.00

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	wales?	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Oxford may be an option: the JR pathologists are able to source rooms at one of the colleges for seminars	1/29/2018 6:45 PM
3	London is good because of being central. Generally having meeting before or after pathsoc meeting is really useful!	1/26/2018 7:02 PM
4	London is a good location, Cambridge, Oxford, Edinburgh, Manchester	1/25/2018 7:23 PM
5	Suggest 2 x meetings per year instead of x3. London v expensive (and therefore quality of accomodation dinner venue is lower), can we not organise in North/Midlands	1/25/2018 9:21 AM
6	London is relatively easy for most people to get to so works well. Cambridge is lovely	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
7	London is good	1/24/2018 7:32 PM
8	Perhaps somewhere north like Sheffield, Manchester or Leeds	1/24/2018 4:49 PM
9	Cambridge	1/24/2018 2:43 PM

Q18 This space has been left blank for further comments

Answered: 5   Skipped: 9

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Thank you so much - this was a great meeting, very well organised. Pre-dinner speech was inspiring. Great to meet Jean Shanks rep. Lots of ST1s there - inviting attendees from the academic st1 day worked well.	1/31/2018 1:41 PM
2	Thank you for organising this great meeting. Very good mix of themes and speakers.	1/25/2018 7:23 PM
3	Thanks very much for organising a very useful program.	1/24/2018 9:56 PM
4	These meetings are really useful for networking and for hearing about sources of funding and how to apply. Could there be more science? (techniques, approaches to problems, how to look at a research question from multiple angles)	1/24/2018 8:51 PM
5	Excellent day, it was really good to chat to current academic trainees and in particular the talks on funding, ethics and publication were really useful	1/24/2018 4:49 PM